

Record of individual Cabinet member decision

Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012

Decision made	Cllr Kate Gregory			
	J 5 7			
by	Cabinet member for legal and democratic			
Key decision?	Yes			
Date of	20 February 2023			
decision	20 February 2023			
(same as date form				
signed)				
Name and job	Diane Foster			
title of officer	Licensing and Community Safety Manager			
requesting the	and community carrety manager			
decision				
Officer contact	Tel: 07801 203520			
details	Email: diane.foster@southandvale.gov.uk			
Decision	To enter into a partnering agreement with Oxfordshire County Council,			
	Cherwell District Council, Oxford City County, Vale of White Horse			
	Oxfordshire District Council, West Oxfordshire District Council, and the			
	Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner relating to a pooled budget			
	for the provision of domestic abuse services in Oxfordshire.			
Reasons for	Latest figures from Thames Valley Police show that there were 1,394			
decision	recorded victims of domestic abuse in South Oxfordshire in 2021,			
	although the estimated number of victims is over 5,000. Reducing the			
	harm caused by domestic abuse is a priority for the South and Vale			
	Community Safety Partnership.			
	Community Carety Faranciemp.			
	Under the Domestic Abuse Act 2021 local authorities have duties relating			
	to the provision of domestic abuse support. We are required to work with			
	the county council to assist with this and receive funding from the			
	Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (DLUHC) for the			
	delivery of domestic abuse support.			
	delivery of domestic abase support.			
	Oxfordshire County Council has commissioned a service to provide			
	support services for victims of domestic abuse in Oxfordshire. The			
	service has recently been commissioned by a competitive tendering			
	process and is due to commence on 1 April 2023 for a period of 3 years,			
	with an option to extend for a further 2 years and the partnering			
	agreement mirrors this.			
	agreement minors this.			

	1					
Alternative			s would result in a failure to	o meet our		
options	responsibilities und	ler the Domes	stic Abuse Act 2021			
rejected						
,						
Climate and	N/A					
	IN/A					
ecological						
implications						
Legal	The partnering agreement is for a period of three years with an option to					
implications	extend for a further	extend for a further two years. There is an eight month notice break				
_	clause within the a	greement.	_			
	sauce main are agreement.					
Financial	The partnering agr	eement requir	es the council to contribute	e £25 500 ner		
		•	stic abuse support service	•		
implications	annum for the prov	ision of dome	suc abuse support service	5.		
		g from DLUHC	c for work relating to suppo	orting victims of		
	domestic abuse.					
	Funding for 2023/2	Funding for 2023/24 has been confirmed as £35,392 and £36,059 for				
	2024/25. Additionally we received £33,568 in 2022/23 and £33,540 in					
	2021/22 which has been moved into earmarked reserves and to be used					
	for the contribution for this partnering agreement.					
	l .		e partnering agreement wi			
	month notice period should the funding from DLUHC be discontinued.					
Other	None					
implications						
Background	None					
papers	INOTIC					
considered						
Declarations/						
conflict of						
interest?						
Declaration of						
other						
councillor/						
officer						
consulted by						
the Cabinet						
member?						
		Nome	Outcome	Doto		
List consultees	Logol	Name Patrick Arran	Outcome	Date 27 January		
	Legal	rautok Arran	Agreed	27 January 2023		
	legal@southandval			=		
	e.gov.uk	Maggie V.:	Einangial implications has	7/2/23		
	Finance	Maggie Xu	Financial implications been checked and agreed	112123		
	Finance@southan					
	<u>dvale.gov.uk</u>	NI/A				
	Human resources	N/A				
	hradminandpayroll					
	@southandvale.go					
	<u>v.uk</u>					
	Climate and	N/A	1	1		

	1			1
	biodiversity			
	climateaction@sou			
	thandvale.gov.uk			
	Diversity and	Lynne Mitchell	Supports the partnership	27 January
	equality		agreement	2023
	equalities@southa			
	ndvale.gov.uk			
	Strategic property	N/A		
	Property@southan			
	dvale.gov.uk			
	Health and safety	N/A		
	healthandsafety@s			
	outhandvale.gov.uk			
	Risk and insurance	N/A		
	risk@southandvale	14/7		
	.gov.uk			
	Communications	N/A		
	_	IN/A		
	communications@			
	southandvale.gov.u			
	<u>k</u>			
Confidential	No			
decision?				
If so, under which				
exempt category?				
Call-in waived				
by Scrutiny				
Committee				
chairman?				
Has this been	No			
discussed by				
Cabinet				
members?				
Cabinet				
portfolio	O' and a town			
holder's	Signature			
signature	(Gragan)			
To confirm the				
decision as set out	1001	U		
in this notice.				
	Date 20 I	February 2023		
l .				

ONCE SIGNED, THIS FORM MUST BE HANDED TO DEMOCRATIC SERVICES IMMEDIATELY.

For Democratic Services office use only					
Form received	Date: 20 February 2023	Time: 14:05			
Date published to all councillors	Date: 20 February 2023				
Call-in deadline	Date: 27 February 2023	Time: 17:00			

Guidance notes

- 1. This form must be completed by the lead officer who becomes the contact officer. The lead officer is responsible for ensuring that the necessary internal consultees have signed it off, including the chief executive. The lead officer must then seek the Cabinet portfolio holder's agreement and signature.
- 2. Once satisfied with the decision, the Cabinet portfolio holder must hand-sign and date the form and return it to the lead officer who should send it to Democratic Services immediately to allow the call-in period to commence.

 Tel. 01235 422520 or extension 2520.

Email: democratic.services@southandvale.gov.uk

- 3. Democratic Services will then publish the decision to the website (unless it is confidential) and send it to all councillors to commence the call-in period (five clear working days) if it is a 'key' decision (see the definition of a 'key' decision below). A key decision cannot be implemented until the call-in period expires. The call-in procedure can be found in the council's constitution, part 4, under the Scrutiny Committee procedure rules.
- 4. Before implementing a key decision, the lead officer is responsible for checking with Democratic Services that the decision has not been called in.
- 5. If a key decision has been called in, Democratic Services will notify the lead officer and decision-maker. This call-in puts the decision on hold.
- 6. Democratic Services will liaise with the Scrutiny Committee chairman over the date of the call-in debate. The Cabinet portfolio holder will be requested to attend the Scrutiny Committee meeting to answer the committee's questions.
- 7. The Scrutiny Committee may:
 - refer the decision back to the Cabinet portfolio holder for reconsideration or
 - refer the matter to Council with an alternative set of proposals (where the final decision rests with full Council) or
 - accept the Cabinet portfolio holder's decision, in which case it can be implemented immediately.

Key decisions: assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key'

The South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils' Constitutions now have the same definition of a key decision:

A key decision is a decision of the Cabinet, an individual Cabinet member, or an officer acting under delegated powers, which is likely:

(a) to incur expenditure, make savings or to receive income (except government grant) of more than £75,000;

- (b) to award a revenue or capital grant of over £25,000; or
- (c) to agree an action that, in the view of the chief executive or relevant head of service, would be significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising more than one ward in the area of the council.

Key decisions are subject to the scrutiny call-in procedure; non-key decisions are not and can be implemented immediately.

In assessing whether a decision should be classified as 'key', you should consider:

- (a) Will the expenditure, savings or income total more than £75,000 across all financial years?
- (b) Will the grant award to one person or organisation be more that £25,000 across all financial years?
- (c) Does the decision impact on more than one district council ward? And if so, is the impact significant? If residents or property affected by the decision is in one ward but is close to the border of an adjacent ward, it may have a significant impact on that second ward, e.g. through additional traffic, noise, light pollution, odour. Examples of significant impacts on two or more wards are:
 - Decisions to spend Didcot Garden Town funds (significant impact on more than one ward)
 - Changes to the household waste collection policy (affects all households in the district)
 - Reviewing a housing strategy (could have a significant impact on residents in many wards)
 - Adopting a supplementary planning document for a redevelopment site (could significantly affect more than one ward) or a new design guide (affects all wards)
 - Decisions to build new or improve existing leisure facilities (used by residents of more than one ward)

The overriding principle is that before 'key' decisions are made, they must be published in the Cabinet Work Programme for 28 calendar days. Classifying a decision as non-key when it should be a key decision could expose the decision to challenge and delay its implementation.